

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/937,082	ISHII, KATSUHIRO
	Examiner John M Hotaling II	Art Unit 3713

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) John M Hotaling II. (3) _____.

(2) Linda Saltiel. (4) _____.

Date of interview: 04 May 2005.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: All.

Identification of prior art discussed: That used in the rejection.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants representative and the examiner discussed all of the rejections posed in the previous office action. The examiner agreed that the 101 112 and 102 rejections have been overcome. The examiner stated that he would take into consideration the arguments relative to the 103 rejection.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.



JOHN M. HOTALING, II
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Examiner's signature, if required